#### Representations received against the advertised R65 extension

## **Against**

Looking at the ResPark poll data (kindly provided by Annemarie Howarth) whilst about 2/3 of those who voted, voted in favour of a ResPark, the percentage of all residents who voted for a ResPark was only a paltry 27% overall, with some 73% either voting against or abstaining (or maybe on holiday). 27% is hardly a resounding majority.

The poll was held without any of the residents being made aware of the recent decision by St Peters to provide pupil and staff bus services. There have been no sightings of any St Peters' pupils parking in Grove View since the beginning of the autumn school term. Thus, we must conclude that the belated but welcome St Peters' bus services have solved the problem. If the Council is insisting that the ResPark goes ahead, then it would only be right if a new poll was commissioned, with the Council informing all residents in advance of this huge positive improvement in the current parking situation

Looking back to Annemarie's original letter on this matter dated 1 May 2025, the local residents were then invited to vote on what is now a false premise. It has been noticeable that, since the start of term, no St Peters' pupil has been seen parking in Grove View. I suspect that the same goes for Compton Street and Rosslyn Street. This clearly is as a result of the headmaster's long awaited and belated intervention and the provision of the said pupil bus service. Thus, the parking conditions that have prevailed for many years (because of the school's persistent ignoring of the situation) no longer exist. I consequently propose that the poll be re-done, but this time with all the residents of all the affected local streets being given this much more up to date information (about the pupil bus service), information that was either not available at the time or which was withheld from the residents earlier in the year.

I repeat what I said before - the entire reason that parking in our local area has been difficult, is that many older pupils from St Peter's school selfishly and inconsiderately park in our streets. Not illegal of course but selfish and inconsiderate. Young, fit pupils parking outside homes belonging to, in the main, elderly residents. An utter disgrace. Numerous representations have been made to the school over many years but they have fallen on deaf ears. I personally hand delivered a letter to the Headmaster and Chair of Governors a year or so ago. Neither had the courtesy to respond. Not even an acknowledgement! I have heard that academic standards at that school are nothing to shout about. Clearly good manners are not taught there either. Certainly, the school itself cares not a jot about its detrimental impact upon the local community.

I am a 78-year-old pensioner who can ill afford to pay hundreds of pounds every year to park in front of my own house. To make matters worse, I have living with me a granddaughter who has just completed her second year in Nursing studies at

the University of York. She needs her car to attend hospital placements as far away as Scarborough. When she graduates, she will be a huge asset to York. How is she going to be able to afford to pay hundreds of pounds to park here? So, we are left with a situation which could have been avoided if sufficient representations had been made at local government level to the school. Instead, we have an expensive school full of exceedingly rich pupils who have been allowed to ruin the lives of many ordinary local elderly people. Is it any wonder that I question whether our Labour Council or our Labour Government cares more about their constituents. Certainly, no-one has hitherto listened to us here in Grove View. And in addition, there will be no gain for the selfish St Peters' pupils who have brought this upon us. If the ResPark goes ahead, everyone loses!

## **Against**

I am writing to comment on the proposed changes to the R65C parking zone.

I object to this change, as the areas being added will result in a significant increase in traffic and parking to the current R65C area. There has been no resident consultation for any changes, which shows how little care a regard the council have for the residents of this city.

If you wish to make Compton street and neighbouring streets residents parking, create a separate zone for this area, to prevent parking overflow into neighbouring streets which are already at maximum capacity.

#### **Against**

We are writing to formally object to the proposed extension of the R65 residents priority parking scheme. Having already responded in the informal consultation, we wish to reiterate our main concerns with this proposal.

In the nearly six years we have lived in the area, we have never experienced difficulty finding a parking space. The proposal aims to solve a problem that, from our perspective as long-term residents, simply does not exist. We are being asked to pay for a convenience that we already have, for no tangible benefit, and like the current parking restrictions i.e., the yellow lines, will likely go unenforced regardless.

The current proposed costs of £115 for a first permit and an additional £264 for a second are disproportionate. As tenants, we will likely be required to fund our letting agency's permit in addition to our own. We are aware that permit prices have increased significantly in the last few years and are likely to increase for the foreseeable future, representing a new and likely ever increasing financial burden. (https://www.yorkpress.co.uk/news/25227449.york-council-increases-respark-revenue-17-5-3-years/).

This is effectively a tax on residents for parking outside their own homes. We both require vehicles as conditions of our employment therefore getting rid of a car in order to reduce the financial burden this scheme will place on us is not an option. At a time of rising council tax and living costs, introducing such a substantial and unnecessary expense is an unreasonable expectation.

# Against

I am writing to formally object to the proposal for implementing a Residents' Priority Parking Zone in Compton Street, Grove View, Rosslyn St, and Westerdale Court.

While I understand the intention to manage parking pressures, I have several concerns about the potential impact this scheme could have on local residents and the wider community:

- Reduced accessibility for visitors and service vehicles The restrictions would make it difficult for family, carers, and tradespeople to park nearby, which would be especially inconvenient for households that rely on regular visits.
- 2. Increased cost to residents The introduction of parking permits would create an unnecessary financial burden on households that already contribute through local council tax.
- 3. Displacement of parking problems The proposed zone may simply shift parking pressure to neighbouring streets, worsening congestion in surrounding areas.
- 4. Lack of demonstrated necessity Current parking conditions in our area do not appear to justify such a restrictive scheme, and many residents have not experienced persistent parking difficulties.

I respectfully request that the council reconsider or delay the implementation of this scheme until further consultation and a more comprehensive impact assessment are undertaken.

Thank you for taking my views into account. Please confirm receipt of this objection, and let me know if any further information is required.

### **Against**

I would like to reiterate my concerns as sent to you in the previous consultation:

I **object** to the above scheme extension for the following reasons.

1. For reasons of cost to myself, as a single parent on a limited income and tight budget.

2. I do not believe it is necessary. I have lived in Compton Street for 19.5 years and never have difficulty finding a parking space.